Carbon is not required during crystallization to produce ferrobasalts/ferrodiorites (FTP rocks)
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ABSTRACT

Experiments were conducted to determine whether the presence of graphite is required to produce the Fe-Ti-P enrichment, silica-depletion trend seen in ferrobasalts and ferrodiorites/jotunites. A nominally anhydrous Snake River Plain olivine tholeiite was crystallized at 9.3 kbar in Fe-Pt capsules; the composition of the Fe-Pt alloy was empirically chosen such that the charge neither lost nor gained iron. The results of these experiments and those of previous experiments conducted in graphite are strikingly similar: in both cases the residual liquids were depleted in silica and enriched in Fe, Ti, and P. We conclude that the presence of carbon is not essential for producing this distinctive trend.
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INTRODUCTION

Ferrobasalts found in the Craters of the Moon lava field in the Snake River Plain (SRP) and the ferrodiorites (jotunites) associated with massif anorthosites are remarkably similar in composition, and are characterized by a strong silica-depletion, Fe-Ti-P enrichment trend (FTP Rocks, Fig. 1: e.g., Frost and Frost 1997; Owens and Dymek 1992). Previous experimentalists successfully reproduced this trend by conducting crystallization experiments on a variety of tholeiitic starting compositions in graphite capsules with low bulk water contents at elevated pressures (~7–10 kbar, Litvin 2002; Scoates et al. 1999; Thompson 1975; Whitaker et al. 2007). Based on the use of graphite capsules in the reported experiments, an abundance of graphite within and near the Laramie Anorthosite Complex (LAC), and the experiments of Weidner (1982) showing that carbon greatly stabilizes liquids in the Fe-C-O system, Lindsay et al. (1999) proposed that carbon plays an essential chemical role in producing this trend.

Whitaker et al. (2007) showed that equilibrium crystallization of a single “dry” (0.05 wt% H2O) olivine tholeiite melt (10.62 wt% MgO) at 9.3 kbar (~30 km depth) gave rise to residual liquids that followed the same compositional trend as the ferrobasalts of the Craters of the Moon lava field in the SRP and the ferrodiorites found in the LAC. However, because the experiments reported in Whitaker et al. (2007) were conducted in graphite capsules, there were no means of distinguishing the effect of pressure on the liquid evolution paths from the effect of graphite (and hence C-O species dissolved in the melt). We report here the results of a parallel set of experiments at 9.3 kbar in which almost all conditions were identical, except that the sample was isolated from carbon in these experiments.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Experimental procedure

The starting material used here is the same nominally anhydrous olivine tholeiite (ICPP123-260, abbreviated I260) used in the experiments of Whitaker et al. (2007). Experiments in this study were conducted in Pt capsules that had been pre-soaked with Fe° foil to prevent net transfer of Fe between sample and capsule during the experiment. Fe-loss changes the bulk composition as well as fO2, because the iron lost alloys with the capsule as metallic Fe°. The proper amount of Fe° foil used in the alloying process was determined iteratively with the goal that the sample should have neither gained nor lost Fe during the course of the experiment.

Capsules were cut from Pt tubing, and the bottoms crimped, welded, and flattened. A double-thickness of 0.0005” Fe metal foil was placed along the bottom of the capsule and around the inner diameter, leaving sufficient room at the top for welding. These capsules were then evacuated, dried, sealed in evacuated silica-glass ampoules, and alloyed at 1250 °C for 6 h prior to using in experiments. The Fe° foil was consumed in this process, becoming part of the capsule wall. The sample material was then loaded into the capsule up to the top of the Fe-alloyed portion of the capsule, and a disk of Pt-foil that had also been pre-alloyed with Fe as above was placed Fe-side down on top of the sample material.

The Fe-Pt capsule was crimped shut and dried by placing the loaded capsule into a silica glass tube with an Fe° oxygen “getter” and glass spacer to keep them separated. This assembly was evacuated and heated for 20 min in a vertical furnace with a drying geometry such that the capsule was heated to 800 °C while the Fe° “getter” was at ~600 °C, to prevent oxidation of the sample while drying. After cooling, the dried capsule was welded shut, the top flattened in a pellet press, and placed into a graphite outer sleeve to match as closely as possible the geometry of the cell assembly used in Whitaker et al. (2007). The cell assembly was then loaded into the ½” piston-cylinder apparatus and promptly pressurized to prevent re-adsorption of water. The bulk water content of a fused sample dried in this manner was found to be 0.05 w% by micro-Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR).

Crystallization experiments were conducted using the piston-out method in which the pressure was slowly raised to a value approximately 2 kbar greater than the desired pressure of the experiment while still at room temperature; then the sample was heated to the melting temperature (1320 °C) before decreasing the overpressure. The sample remained at this melting temperature and pressure for 4 h to ensure complete fusion, then was rapidly cooled to the final crystallization temperature of the experiment, where the sample remained for 2–3 days. Each experiment was quenched by turning off the power, resulting in an initial cooling rate of ~100 °C/s.

Analytical techniques

The major element compositions of all phases in experimental run products were determined by electron microprobe. Alkali loss during microprobe analysis was minimized by analyzing for Na and K first and keeping the beam on a large raster size until just before the analysis began. The absence of normative corundum (Cor) in all residual glass compositions suggests minimal alkali loss. All pyroxene and olivine analyses were projected through QUILF (Andersen et al. 1993) and checked for equilibrium whenever the assemblage permitted.

* E-mail: matthewlwhitaker@aim.com
The IgPet Program Suite (Carr 2002) was used to conduct mass balance calculations using a least-squares routine as well as to calculate molar norms of all residual liquids and pyroxenes. The least-squares calculations (LSQ) yielded phase proportions (in wt%) and helped ensure that no major phases were overlooked during microprobe analysis. Only those combinations of phases in a single experiment that yielded sums of the squares of the residuals less than 0.15 are reported here (with one exception, noted later).

The water contents of select glasses were measured by analyzing doubly polished wafers of the experimental run products with micro-IR spectroscopy using the Nicolet 20SXB FTIR spectrometer and Spectra Tech IR Plan microscope at the American Museum of Natural History. Glass densities and total water concentrations were calculated via the methods of Dixon et al. (1995) and Mandeville et al. (2002).

**RESULTS**

Compositions of crystalline phases and residual glasses from all experiments are given in Table 1. Little to no compositional zoning was observed in the crystals with the exception of augite, which commonly displayed significant variation in non-quadrilateral components, especially Ti and Al. Only experiments that produced homogenous residual glass are reported here. Note that experiment I260-48, the first liquid listed, is 100% glass and reproduces the bulk composition of the I260 olivine tholeiite starting material. With the exception of the experiment conducted at 1260 °C, which lost ~0.6 wt% FeO (~6 relative% FeO), all bulk compositions as determined by LSQ are close to the FeO content of the starting material.

Figure 2a shows the computed abundances of phases present in the “dry” experiments on I260 in both graphite and Fe-Pt capsules. The phase abundances in the Fe-Pt experiments are similar to those found in the graphite experiments, save for a 20 °C offset in phase proportions and the lack of oxide phases in the Fe-Pt experiments.

Figure 2b shows olivine and pyroxene compositions as projected through QUILF (Andersen et al. 1993) via the method of Lindsley and Andersen (1983, pages A894–A895). The open symbols are pyroxenes and olivines from the graphite experiments of Whitaker et al. (2007). The compositions of these phases follow the same trends in both sets of experiments.

The compositional relationships between feldspars and liquids are shown in Figure 2c. The molar normative feldspar components (An, Ab, Or) of the residual liquids, feldspars, and pyroxenes (included in this projection for mass balance) were normalized to 1. Results of the graphite experiments are shown as open symbols for comparison.

As was observed in the experiments conducted in graphite, residual liquids produced at 9.3 kbar in Fe-Pt capsules become strongly depleted in silica and enriched in total alkalis, crossing the boundary into the alkalic field (Fig. 2d). The strong silica-depletion of the residual liquids is accompanied by depletion in MgO and CaO, and strong enrichment in FeO, TiO2, and P2O5 (Fig. 3). The residual liquids from the experiments conducted in graphite are shown as gray circles for comparison.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of these experiments show good agreement with the experiments conducted in graphite capsules in both mineral phase and residual liquid compositions. One difference between the two sets of experiments is that the graphite-free experiments consistently yielded an assemblage equivalent to that seen 20 °C higher in the graphite capsule experiments (Fig. 2a). This may have arisen from two factors. First, during the melting period of each graphite experiment, a “drift” in the temperature reading of ~4 °C had been noted at the melting temperature during the 2 h melting period. This may be the result of minor diffusion of Rh into the pure Pt leg of the thermocouple. It is likely that this drift in temperature is greater in the graphite-free experiments because of the necessary 4 h melting period. This drift causes the temperature read by the controller to be lower than the actual temperature of the experiment. Second, although the rest of the cell assembly was identical, the details in the vicinity of the capsule were necessarily slightly different, which may have produced a slightly different temperature gradient across the cell.

Two differences were noted between the assemblages crystallizing in the graphite experiments and those crystallizing in Fe-Pt. One was the absence of oxide phases in the latter. This may have been caused by a slight difference in fO2. A net loss of Fe to the capsule, as occurred in the highest temperature experiment, would cause the fO2 to be somewhat higher, because the ferric/ferrous ratio of the charge goes up. Similarly, fO2 would be lower if there was a net gain of Fe from the capsule. However, with the exception of the 1260 °C experiment, the least-squares calculations for all other experiments indicate that the capsule is truly “neutral” with respect to Fe loss or gain; therefore the fO2 should have been the same as that of the starting material. In addition, the QUILF projections of the augites in the Fe-Pt experiments do not indicate any Fe3+, which would be expected if the
sample lost Fe\(^2\) to the capsule, further supporting the capsule’s neutrality with respect to Fe content. The close similarity of phase compositions between these experiments and those conducted in graphite suggests that neither set of experiments underwent any significant oxidation or reduction with respect to the other, indicating that the \(f_{\text{O}_2}\) in both sets of experiments was also similar (\( \approx -2.5 \) log units below FMQ, Whitaker et al. 2007). It is thus more likely that the oxides are volumetrically minor phases, they may have been present but not observed in thin section.

A second difference between the experimental phase assemblages produced in these two sets of experiments is that the olivine in the highest temperature experiment in Fe-Pt is more magnesian than that found in the highest temperature graphite experiment (Fig. 2b). This may be the result of some Fe loss to the capsule during high-temperature crystallization. Perhaps this explains the results of the least-squares mass balance calculations for this experiment (1260 \(^\circ\)C), which showed greater residuals compared to the rest (see Table 1). Experiments conducted at lower temperatures show a much closer match in greater residuals compared to the rest (see Table 1). Experiments conducted in Fe-Pt and graphite. The feldspars crystallizing in Fe-Pt are nearly identical in composition to those crystallizing in graphite (Fig. 2c).

The residual liquid compositions follow similar evolutionary paths in the Fe-Pt and graphite experiments (Figs. 2d and 3). Both sets of experiments lead to the strong silica-depletion, Fe-Ti-P-enrichment trend observed in ferrobasalts from the Craters of the Moon in the Snake River Plain and the ferrodiorites of the Laramie Anorthosite Complex. However, there are some differences. The most striking difference in liquid compositions between the two sets of experiments is found in Na\(_2\)O, which is consistently lower in Fe-Pt than in graphite. It is possible that some of the Na in the sample was lost to the Fe-Pt capsule during the experiment to form NaPt, and went statistically undetected in the least-squares calculations.

Since the Fe-Pt capsule was held by a graphite sleeve in the experiments, the run products were analyzed by FTIR to check for the presence of any C-O species that might have diffused in through the capsule wall. While the spectrum indicated some C-O dissolved in the residual glass (ppm range), it was only slightly more than the amount observed in experiments conducted in the absence of graphite, and 3 or more orders of magnitude less than

---

**Table 1.** Representative residual liquid and mineral phase compositions (in wt\%) from “Dry” I260 experiments in Fe-Pt at 9.3 kbar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T(°C)</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>47.53</td>
<td>47.78</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>39.24</td>
<td>50.07</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>37.30</td>
<td>48.99</td>
<td>52.32</td>
<td>44.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>29.31</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>12.61</td>
<td>23.89</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>45.64</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>41.89</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>36.59</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg no.*</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.57</td>
<td>99.25</td>
<td>101.03</td>
<td>98.91</td>
<td>99.94</td>
<td>98.94</td>
<td>98.96</td>
<td>98.42</td>
<td>98.29</td>
<td>99.16</td>
<td>97.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp. (mol%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>For85(La0.5) –</td>
<td>For80(La0.5) –</td>
<td>En64Wo20 –</td>
<td>En64Wo20 –</td>
<td>En73La0.4 –</td>
<td>En78La0.3 –</td>
<td>En62Or2 –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundance (wt%)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg no.*</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O (wt%)</td>
<td>0.05†</td>
<td>0.05†</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.20†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S.R.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Column headings in bold indicate liquid, as opposed to crystalline, phase analyses.

* Mg no. = Molar Mg/(Mg + Fe\(^2+) assuming an Fe\(^2+)/Fe\(^3+) ratio of 0.85.
† Measured by FTIR.
‡ Calculated based on bulk water content and degree of crystallinity.
§ S.S.R. = Sum of squared residuals.

---

The residual liquid compositions follow similar evolutionary paths in the Fe-Pt and graphite experiments (Figs. 2d and 3). Both sets of experiments lead to the strong silica-depletion, Fe-Ti-P-enrichment trend observed in ferrobasalts from the Craters of the Moon in the Snake River Plain and the ferrodiorites of the Laramie Anorthosite Complex. However, there are some differences. The most striking difference in liquid compositions between the two sets of experiments is found in Na\(_2\)O, which is consistently lower in Fe-Pt than in graphite. It is possible that some of the Na in the sample was lost to the Fe-Pt capsule during the experiment to form NaPt, and went statistically undetected in the least-squares calculations.

Since the Fe-Pt capsule was held by a graphite sleeve in the experiments, the run products were analyzed by FTIR to check for the presence of any C-O species that might have diffused in through the capsule wall. While the spectrum indicated some C-O dissolved in the residual glass (ppm range), it was only slightly more than the amount observed in experiments conducted in the absence of graphite, and 3 or more orders of magnitude less than
The results of the experiments conducted in this study show good agreement with those conducted at 9.3 kbar in graphite by Whitaker et al. (2007). The liquids and crystalline assemblages from both sets of experiments follow similar evolutionary paths, resulting in the production of ferrobasaltic/ferrodioritic characteristics in the residual liquids (FTP rocks). These results suggest that dissolved in the glasses from experiments run in graphite (wt% range). An experiment was also re-run using the same conditions, but with a crushable alumina sleeve surrounding the Fe-Pt capsule to remove any possibility of C entering the run, and the results of that experiment still showed the silica-depletion, Fe-Ti-P-enrichment trend of our other experiments.
that, despite the natural association of graphite with ferrodiorites in anorthosite complexes, the presence of C-O species in the melt during crystallization is not necessary to generate liquids of the silica-depletion, Fe-Ti-P-enrichment trend.
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